



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,935	04/13/2004	Anders Herman Torp	135255 (553-1036)	4447
45436	7590	03/27/2008		
DEAN D. SMALL				
THE SMALL PATENT LAW GROUP LLP				
611 OLIVE STREET, SUITE 1611				
ST. LOUIS, MO 63101				
EXAMINER				
LARYEA, LAWRENCE N				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3768				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/27/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/822,935

Applicant(s)

TORP ET AL.

Examiner

LAWRENCE N. LARYEA

Art Unit

3768

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2007.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/2005
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendment and remarks filed June 29, 2007.

Claims 1-20 and 21 are now pending. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to Claims 1,13, and 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Chenal et al (US PGPUB 2002/0072671 A1)** in view of **Klingensmith et al (US PGPUB (2004/0037455 A1).**

3. **Chenal et al** teaches of locating the apex of the left ventricle (LV) as shown in Figure 4 and paragraph 9. **Chenal et al** teaches of using a series of images within a loop (¶¶ 24-25). **Chenal et al** teaches of landmark detection (¶¶ 4). **Chenal et al** teaches of transition detection orthogonal to contour such that "Once these three major landmarks of the LV have been located, one of a number of predetermined standard shapes for the LV is fitted to the three landmarks and the endocardial wall. Three such standard shapes are shown in FIGS. 5a, 5b, and 5c. The first shape, border 62, is seen to be relatively tall and curved to the left. The second shape, border 64, is seen to be relatively short and rounded. The third shape, border 66, is more triangular. Each of these standard shapes is scaled appropriately to fit the three landmarks 26,36,46. After

an appropriately scaled standard shape is fit to the three landmarks, an analysis is made of the degree to which the shape fits the border in the echo data. This may be done, for example, by measuring the distances between the shape and the heart wall at points along the shape. Such measurements are made along paths orthogonal to the shape and extending from points along the shape. The heart wall may be detected using the operation discussed in FIGS. 7a-7c, for instance. The shape which is assessed as having the closest fit to the border to be traced, by an average of the distance measurements, for instance, is chosen as the shape used in the continuation of the protocol" (§ 31).

4. **Chenal et al** teach the claimed invention see rejection supra, **Chenal et al** does not expressly that the method for detecting an anatomic structure based on a medical diagnostic imaging data set comprising identifying transition points based upon a transition smoothness.

5. **Klingensmith et al** teach a method for detecting an anatomic structure based on a medical diagnostic imaging data set comprising identifying transition points based upon a transition smoothness (**See Paragraphs [0033] and [0012]**).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify a method for detecting an anatomic structure based on a medical diagnostic imaging data set of **Chenal et al** including identifying transition points based upon a transition smoothness similar to that of **Klingensmith et al** in order to efficiently eliminate noise during medical imaging processing.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 and 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson (US PGPUB 2003/0160786 A1) and Sheehan et al (US Patent 6106466) teach related invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3768

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAWRENCE N. LARYEA whose telephone number is (571)272-9060. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-5:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LNL

/Eric F Winakur/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768